



King County  
Building & Land Development Division  
Parks, Planning and Resources Department  
3600 - 136th Place Southeast  
Bellevue, Washington 98006-1400

October 4, 1991

TO: Greg Kipp  
Jerry Marbett  
Gary Kohler  
Hilda Hammon  
Terry Brunner

George McCallum  
Lisa Pringle  
Rich Hudson  
Lanny Henoch  
Ken Dinsmore  
Harold Vandergriff

FM: Jerry Balcom

RE: Minutes of the September 20, 1991 Code Interpretation Meeting

Present: Jerry Marbett, Harold Vandergriff, Hilda Hammon, Karen Scharer, George McCallum, Jerry Balcom, Gloria Chow, Susan Storwick, Rick Bautista, Steve Taylor.

1. The general discussion of Appendix A-1 of Ordinance 10021, Telecommunication Ordinance will occur during the October 4 meeting. Discussion will involve the interpretation and application of footnote 4 and suggestions for the clarification of language used in footnote 4.

2. **New Legislation Update**

A. There is a proposed code amendment, proposed ordinance #91-713 which will enable all structures listed in 21.48.030 to exceed the height limit of 21.20.090 in the S-R zone. This ordinance is out of committee and will be on the agenda for Monday, September 23.

B. A follow-up ordinance to the Telecommunication Ordinance 10021 has been adopted to amend the height limitations on telecommunication towers in commercial and forest zones. Copies will be distributed when we receive a signed copy from the clerk. Ordinance #10086.

C. Whether a permit vests prior to a SEPA determination is a major issue being discussed with the County Council staff relative to the Vesting Ordinance, proposed ordinance #91-57. In anticipation of the ultimate adoption of this ordinance, the BALD management team has been compiling the list of

specific information to be submitted with various development proposal applications. The specific contents of an application for each type of permit will be adopted by administrative rule following adoption of the vesting ordinance.

D. Discussion of the September 9, memo from Greg Kipp regarding density variance policy, clarified that the intent of the memo was to provide a guideline for advising potential applicants of our preferred review procedures. It was not to be construed as a standard by which approval or denial ought to be based.

JB:STS:ib